

Letters to the Editor ...

NWO Mind Control Networks

Hi, Duncan: Regarding the current issue and your editing of the Mind Control article [6/02], this is a topic I heavily investigated about two years ago.

It became clear to me that there are vast mind-control/torture and Satanic networks that are also interrelated in many ways, and that they are really at the heart of what the New World Order is all about. I hope you will do many more articles here and not do any editing.

You may want to visit on Real Audio a talk I gave on this topic on Sightings with Jeff Rense. (See his archived shows, April 20, 1997. It is about one hour of the three-hour talk.) It is a broad, general introduction to the topic with numerous references such as books that people can turn to, to explore this topic.

Sincerely,
Gurudas,
mrgurudas@earthlink.net

Nutrasweet's Side-Effects

I'm pretty much responding to an article you put on the Net about Nutrasweet. I was watching the news and they were saying this is a hoax, and they brought a doctor on to say that it was the safest food additive on the market.

This sent chills down my spine, because when Nutrasweet first came out, my mother, who has been on a lifelong diet, forced me to consume this "diet product" even though it was making me extremely sick. I was probably seven years old and experienced headaches, painful nausea, delusions; and over a few years I started blacking out when I chewed sugar-free bubblegum. I started refusing to drink Kool Aid and Cokes that were diet-like, three months after my first experience.

I can smell Nutrasweet before it hits my mouth, and even the smell gives me a headache. If I accidentally consume Nutrasweet, I get a horrible migraine and I'll black out; how much was consumed determines the severity and length of blackout. It's quite embarrassing when it happens in public, not to mention painful (I've hit the floor hard and in bad locations). No doctor I go to can explain it, and they dismiss the link to Nutrasweet almost immediately.

This is a very important issue

and it's about time it's addressed. I will never give Nutrasweet products to any child, and if I owned a restaurant I wouldn't have it available.

Preservatives and artificial ingredients are not meant to be put in the human body, particularly at the extreme amounts the average American does. If there is any question to the truth of this story of mine, feel free to e-mail. I'm not getting paid for this; my story is true.

Some facts in recent campaigns may not be fully researched; however, there is something extremely toxic in Nutrasweet and related products, because my symptoms are very real. Please don't blow this issue off: push for more studies and keep your mind open until they find out what makes me so sick. Thank you for reading this.

Mary Jane, maryjayne@
dialaccess.com

Problems with Animal Fats

Dear Editor: As a long-time follower of holistic health, I found the two-part series, "The Oiling of America" [6/02, 6/03], to be extremely enlightening. At the same time, there are troubling aspects to some of the arguments.

For one, the authors never seem to acknowledge any negative health effects from eating large amounts of saturated fats, or any animal products for that matter. Even Dr Andrew Weil, hardly a mainstream practitioner, writes in *Spontaneous Healing*: "Evidence for the health risks of saturated fat is overwhelming."

We don't know why groups like the Masai, who consume large amounts of these fats, supposedly have little heart disease. But I'm sure their food supply is nothing like that of the average Euro-American! Perhaps there are still yet unidentified factors.

As much as both conventional and holistic practitioners are discouraging people from (over)eating animal products, the authors are just as aggressively promoting the idea. No doubt, "once upon a time" it was not unhealthy to do so. (Contrary to what was implied, meat-centred meals were infrequent for turn-of-the-century people like my immigrant ancestors.) But those products were once grown locally, and not filled

with pesticides, hormones and filth. The authors ignored that problem altogether, as well as the many other pitfalls of an animal-foods-centred diet (milk allergies, for one.) As scientists, they surely must know about the tragic lives of both factory farm and wild animals, who suffer because of our cattle industry, as well as the vast environmental destruction. I won't elaborate on subjects so well documented elsewhere.

It's a shame Enig and Fallon can't deal with these issues without the silly right-wing label of "political correctness". If health or environmental concerns are beyond them, then they are no better than any of the other scientists who are part of the corporate machinery.

Sincerely yours, Mary Angeline,
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA,
aa651@hotmail.com

Gene-Modified Food Fears

Dear Duncan: It is interesting to note the current topical issue of Genetically Modified Food (GMF) rearing its head down here in New Zealand.

Perhaps not such an issue in the US or Europe, but in the South Pacific, where there is a clean, green, untouched image and perception, GMF is thought by some people to be a somewhat abhorrent attempt to interfere with nature.

I would have thought that the perfect mechanism for food crop generation over millennia was one called "natural selection". As soon as scientists start tinkering with the laws of nature, such food crop products become tainted and flawed with the implementation of applied scientific knowledge—flawed to the degree that GMF is reasonably new and there is no guarantee that the results are safe. Also, at this point in time, we can be assured that the scientific community involved in these modifications cannot know everything or predict how these things will impact on mankind in the future.

They will tell you otherwise, but I am sure that I, like many other NEXUS readers, already know that ego and peer pressure go hand in hand with many parts of the scientific community.

I was also disturbed to hear that the US Ambassador to New Zealand, Josiah Beeman, made remarks in the media, saying that

those New Zealand companies who branded their products as non-GMF would not be looked upon favourably in marketing their product to the US. Now, I tell you, is this a future worth looking forward to?

Sincerely, George Thatcher,
Auckland, New Zealand,
monty@ak.planet.gen.nz

Making Sense of WingMakers

Dear Editor: I was thrilled and enraged by the WingMakers article in your magazine [6/03]. Just when you think you finally have a decent grasp of conspiracy theories, Earth transformations and spiritual awakenings, along comes a piece of information that forces you to rethink your whole mindmap of who's who in shadow governments and global secrets.

This was a usual experience when I first started researching these different topics, but gradually, after sifting through the contradictions, I pulled together a coherent plan of what was going on behind the "seams" which, believe it or not, lets me sleep a little easier at night. That plan lasted for several years, right up until the last issue of NEXUS, and now, once again, began the niggling questions at two in the morning.

I am annoyed because I have come to realise the constant dilemma of researching topics that cannot be proved and stories that cannot be confirmed. The cliché of "believe what feels right" starts to irritate when that which you believe contradicts the previous.

I start to wonder why I am doing this to myself, and curse the day I ever strayed from the safe path of the mainstream and good nights of sleep. Of course, we know it is good that belief structures be moved and adapted to new information and that therein lies the seeds of truth, etc., etc. Maybe I just needed to write this to vent my frustration.

I now fully understand the appeal of dogma; nothing like thinking you know exactly what's what. Long live a bit of dogma.

PS: I have suspended judgement on the WingMakers article for the moment (if such a thing be possible), but, if the web page is a hoax, congratulations are in order to whomever for a job well done.

Barry
McDonagh,
barsmcdonagh@hotmail.com

... more Letters to the Editor

NB: Please keep letters to approx. 100-150 words in length. Ed.

Existence of the Aether

Dear Sir: Towards the end of last century the Michelson-Morley experiment was performed to detect the aether wind which sweeps around the Earth. The lack of a result was explained in two ways.

Einstein declared that the aether does not exist, wiping it out with the stroke of a pen.

Miller saw that the fact the experiment was performed in a basement meant that it was shielded by the Earth. He performed a similar experiment on top of Mt Wilson and detected an aether wind with his unshielded apparatus. He published the results in 1926.

Michelson and others then tried to duplicate the result, but for some strange reason all used shielded apparatus, much to Miller's despair. Why they did this is not known, but as a result Einstein's theory gained credence.

Thus Einstein is guilty of robbing us of the spirit, thus causing the malaise of this century. For it is in the aether that we find the realm of the spirit, finer than gross matter.

Yours sincerely, John Caldwell, Chewton, Victoria, Australia

Chemtrails over Australia

Dear Duncan: Concerning the "Chemtrails" article in the latest NEXUS [6/03], here is a clipping I cut out from the *Daily Telegraph* in August last year. Occasionally I find an article in the "Tellie", confirming one of your previous stories which seem far-fetched at the time they appear in NEXUS but one or two years later get proved to be true! So, NEXUS is a truly visionary magazine.

What I think would be interesting would be if your magazine could follow up on the effects those "spiderwebs" had on Doreen Mozelic and others.

I have noticed those thick, purplish-brown contrails in this sky quite frequently, mainly last year, and was amazed at how long they remained. People, including myself, have been having "flu-like" symptoms for about six months now, so I wonder if this is really the flu.

With best regards, Mathissa Sommerfeld, Blackheath, NSW, Australia

'Alternative 3'—The Backlash

Angry protests about my "irresponsible scare-mongering" started torrenting in after the publication of my book, *Alternative 3*. So did an even greater number of messages praising me for exposing a nightmare conspiracy involving the governments of America and Britain.

Both reactions astonished me. I'd written a work of fiction, yet vast numbers of readers were insistent it was fact. "Insistent" is the right word. I was denounced as a coward and a liar when I explained that I'd dreamed up the controversial scenario. Many readers were terrified—now convinced, for instance, that missing friends had been snatched to be zombie slaves on Mars—and their responses mirrored those of a man who phoned me from Manchester, England: "When you wrote *A3*, you told the truth, but now you're terrified to admit that truth—because you've been warned off by the CIA."

A3's basic premise is that our planet is doomed and America and Russia, having secretly co-operated for decades, have colonised Mars with selected superior humans. That, I thought, was too way-out for anyone to believe. I was totally wrong.

Respected American researcher Mae Brussell told her weekly radio audience she'd "had the shakes for about a week" after reading *A3* which she described as "the most important book I've ever read in many, many years". Renowned investigator Jim Keith suggested in his follow-up book, *Casebook on Alternative 3*, that I might have written it on behalf of the government (presumably of the USA) as "'grey' disinformation, calculated to confuse and defuse the issue of elitist control, mind control, genocide and secret space programs, by revealing yet concealing these truths".

Could Keith be partly right? Was I, unwittingly, helping to blur the truth about some mind-boggling conspiracy? That, I now feel, is a distinct possibility. The deluge of seemingly confirmatory evidence from intelligent people convinced me I had accidentally trespassed into a range of top-secret truths. I planned to use this material in a book called *Backlash to Alternative 3*. However, a chest

containing that material was mysteriously lost in transit some 14 years ago when I moved from London to join *Reader's Digest* as a senior editor in Sydney, before moving on to New Zealand.

Backlash needs to be written. Any readers with such confirmatory information—maybe including ones who initially contacted me—might care to get in touch, with a view to it being incorporated with appropriate acknowledgments in the new book. My address is PO Box 201, Orewa, Hibiscus Coast, New Zealand 1461.

Leslie Watkins, New Zealand

Tarabich's Visions Realised?

Dear Duncan: Predictions by the 19th-century Serbian peasant prophet Mitar Tarabich [NEXUS 3/03, April–May 1996] seem to be panning out. Mitar predicted that after "the man with the blue eyes" (Tito) and a "commission" government, the following would occur:

"On our borders and *over* them, a new nation [NATO?] will appear...they will be good and honest, and they will answer our hatred with reason...and we shall baptise them with some new fate of ours [ethnic cleansing?], but all that will be in vain—because they will believe only in themselves and in nobody else. Big trouble will become of it, because this nation [Yugoslavia] will be brave.

"Many summers this trouble will last, and nobody will be able to stop it because that nation [NATO?] will grow like grass [invade?]. One who will be born... will be honest and intelligent; he will deal with them in peace.

"We shall live in peace—they there, us here and there."

He also indicated Russia will not directly enter the crisis: "[After Tito] we would again be friends with the Russians, but never sincerely, only formally, pretending for the sake of others not to understand how we cheat and lie to each other." Phew!

Avid reader Jon Wyatt, Carlton, Victoria, Australia

Call for Monetary Reform

Dear Duncan: Thanks for printing articles from the Money Masters re the monopoly control of our money supply [6/01, 6/02]. If you really want a good conspiracy theory this is it, because

unfortunately it's all true. There's no need for blurry photos of UFOs here, it's all quite obvious; but like a good conspiracy theory it's cleverly hidden by ignorance—ours—and deliberate lies—theirs.

None of this is news to Social Creditors in New Zealand. However, if you mention Social Credit, you are immediately met with derisive cries of "Funny Money!" and people start making cranking motions. This is meant to imitate a printing press which Social Creditors would use to print off millions of dollars of this "funny money".

Little wonder banks have managed to maintain their monopoly on credit. Peculiar still, these same people who cry down Social Credit would be the very ones who would benefit from a prosperous country.

Social Credit realised that the only way to achieve monetary reform was through parliamentary legislation. But as a political party we only managed to get four people into Parliament at various times, and have now cleverly shot ourselves in the foot and are now represented as the Alliance Party.

But why is it so difficult to get the message of money reform across? It's simple. If private banks can create credit and lend it out, then surely a government can use its own bank to create credit and use this form of money to build capital works or finance the running of the country's economy.

Unfortunately there is no space in this letter to counter the outraged denials of how this wouldn't work. You would think that the voters would get the gist of it all, especially as they could do with reduced taxes or a plentiful supply of work—the dumb bastards.

Okay, so I'm a little jaundiced by the great voting public; for them, I even became an urban guerrilla. With twelve others we locked ourselves up in Parliament to protest the unfair voting system (we now have MMP and we copped a NZ\$250 fine each for our bit of naughtiness)...

So, thanks for making them out there think about our crooked financial system...and one day demand the return of the ownership of the money supply to the people.

Yours faithfully, Michael Wood, Auckland, New Zealand